## HENRY A. HALL BARBARA HALL

IBLA 83-660

Decided August 30, 1983

Appeal from decision of Arizona State Office, Bureau of Land Management, declaring unpatented mining claim abandoned and void. A MC 24396.

## Affirmed.

1. Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976: Recordation of Affidavit of Assessment Work or Notice of Intention to Hold Mining Claim--Mining Claims: Recordation

Under sec. 314 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. § 1744 (1976), the owner of a mining claim located on or before Oct. 21, 1976, must file a notice of intention to hold the claim or evidence of performance of annual assessment work on the claim on or before Oct. 22, 1979, and prior to Dec. 31 of each calendar year thereafter. The evidence of assessment work or the notice of intention to hold the mining claim must be filed both in the office where the notice of location of the claim is recorded and in the proper office of the Bureau of Land Management. This requirement is mandatory, not discretionary. Filing of evidence of assessment work only in the county recording office does not constitute compliance with the recordation requirements of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 or those in 43 CFR 3833.2-1.

2. Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976: Recordation of Mining Claims and Abandonment--Mining Claims: Abandonment

The conclusive presumption of abandonment which attends the failure to file an instrument required by 43 U.S.C. § 1744 (1976) is imposed by the statute itself. A matter of law, it is self-operative and does not depend upon any act or decision of an administrative official. In enacting the statute, Congress did not invest the Secretary with authority to waive or excuse noncompliance with the statute, or to afford claimants any relief from the statutory consequences.

APPEARANCES: Barbara Hall, pro se.

75 IBLA 339

## OPINION BY ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE HENRIQUES

By decision of April 15, 1983, the Arizona State Office, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), declared the unpatented B. B. & H. lode mining claim, A MC 24396, abandoned and void because no proof of labor or notice of intention to hold the claim was filed with BLM by October 22, 1979, as required by section 314 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA), 43 U.S.C. § 1744 (1976), and 43 CFR 3833.2.

Appellant submitted copies of the annual proofs of labor for each year from 1978 through 1983, as recorded in the records of Yuma County and La Paz County, Arizona.

- [1] Section 314 of FLPMA requires the owner of an unpatented mining claim located before October 21, 1976, to file with the proper office of BLM on or before October 22, 1979, a copy of the official record of the notice of location and evidence of assessment work performed on the claim or a notice of intention to hold the claim, and a proof of labor or notice of intention to hold prior to December 31 of each calendar year thereafter. The statute also provides that failure to file such instruments within the prescribed time periods shall be deemed conclusively to constitute an abandonment of the mining claim. As no proof of labor or notice of intention to hold the claims was filed with BLM by October 22, 1979, BLM properly deemed the claims to be abandoned and void. J & B Mining Co., 65 IBLA 335 (1982); Margaret E. Peterson, 55 IBLA 136 (1981). The responsibility for complying with the recordation requirements of FLPMA rests with the owner of the unpatented mining claim. This Board has no authority to excuse lack of compliance, or to extend the time for compliance, or to afford any relief from the statutory consequences. Lynn Keith, 53 IBLA 192, 88 I.D. 369 (1981).
- [2] The conclusive presumption of abandonment which attends the failure to file an instrument required by 43 U.S.C. § 1744 (1976) is imposed by the statute itself, and would operate without the regulations. See Northwest Citizens for Wilderness Mining Co., Inc. v. Bureau of Land Management, Civ. No. 78-46 M (D. Mont. June 19, 1979). A matter of law, the conclusive presumption is self-operative and does not depend upon any act or decision of an administrative official. In enacting the statute, Congress did not invest the Secretary of the Interior with authority to waive or excuse noncompliance with the statute, or to afford claimants any relief from the statutory consequences. Lynn Keith, supra at 196, 88 I.D. at 371-72.

BLM has stated that it did not receive the 1979 proof of labor. Appellant has not shown anything to the contrary. Therefore, it must be found that BLM was not acting improperly in its decision declaring the mining claim abandoned and void under the terms of FLPMA.

Appellant may wish to consult with BLM about the possibility of relocating this claim.

75 IBLA 340

Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to the Board of Land Appeals by the Secretary of the Interior, 43 CFR 4.1, the decision appealed from is affirmed.

	Douglas E. Henriques Administrative Judge		
We concur:			
Anne Poindexter Lewis Administrative Judge			
Will A. Irwin Administrative Judge			

75 IBLA 341